



## Constitutional Court Decisions' Effectiveness in Resolving Disputes Over Regional Election Results

Dedi Natalius Sinaga; Fifiana Wisnaeni

Faculty of Law, Diponegoro University, Indonesia

E-mail: dedin4521@gmail.com

<http://dx.doi.org/10.47814/ijssrr.v7i9.2318>

---

### **Abstract**

This study explores the role and effectiveness of the Constitutional Court (MK) in resolving disputes over Regional Head Election (Pilkada) results and its impact on local political stability and public trust. Based on an analysis of various cases decided by the MK, this research finds that the Court's rulings play a crucial role in ensuring justice and stability in the local democratic process. However, the acceptance of MK's decisions heavily depends on the quality of the decision-making process and the institution's independence from political influence. This study also examines the social implications of MK's rulings, particularly in terms of the relationship between local political elites and its influence on national policy. The findings of this research provide important insights into strengthening the role of the MK in maintaining the integrity of the democratic system in Indonesia.

**Keywords:** *Constitutional Court; Pilkada; Political Stability; Public Trust*

### **Introduction**

The selection of regional heads (Pilkada) was one of the key pillars in democracy practice in Indonesia, giving people the opportunity to select their leaders directly. However, any electoral contest is often accompanied by questions and disputes. In this context, the constitutional court (MK) played a crucial role as custodian of the constitution and in resolving disputes made by pillar. The constitutional court decision not only determined the legitimacy of a candidate but also affected the political dynamics of the area.

The constitutional court was given a constitutional mandate to settle disputes by the voters, making it a key institution in ensuring integrity and justice in the democratic process. The resulting verdict is expected to provide justice that is not only swift and efficient but also acceptable to all parties involved.

The study aims to: review the effectiveness of constitutional court rulings in settling conflict. Effectiveness here is seen from several aspects such as justice of the verdict, the speed of the

settlement process, and the acceptance of society toward the verdict results. Assesses the political and social implications of the ruling, including its effect on local political stability and the public's perception of the legitimacy of elected leaders.

This study is important because the results can provide new insights on how to improve the democratic process in Indonesia through the role of constitutional court. Moreover, the findings of the study are expected to serve as a consideration material for judicial institutions and policymakers in optimizing future process for resolving electoral disputes.

### ***Formulation of the Problem***

Basically, there are two principal questions that focus on the study:

- 1) What factors influenced the effectiveness of the constitutional court ruling in resolving the issue of election results?
- 2) What are the implications of the ruling on local political stability?

### ***Research Methods***

The methodology used in this study is the method of research normative jurisdiction or literature study with a comprehensive literature review approach to evaluate the effectiveness of a constitutional court ruling in dispute over election results and their effect on local political stability. By making good use of scientific publications, judicial decisions, and other secondary data, the study is to synthesize existing views and results, seeking an in-depth understanding of the topic. This approach allows for a broader and more profound analysis of relevant literature to give a holistic and informative perspective.

Data sources in the study will include articles from academic journals, research reports from research agencies, legal documents relating to constitutional court ruling, and government publications and articles from the media that are relevant to the issue of pilkada. Data will be collected through search of online databases, library access, and expert consultations in local constitutional and political laws. Data analysis will be conducted using descriptive and thematic analysis, which aims to identify key themes and to synthesize information to build comprehensive understanding. It is hoped that this approach will provide a comprehensive picture of the effectiveness and impact of constitutional court rulings and offers evidence-based recommendations for improving alternative policy solving in the future.

### ***Discussion***

#### **1) Analysis of the Constitutional Court Ruling**

The constitutional court (mk) played a crucial role in settling the issue of election in Indonesia, with the primary responsibility of making sure the voting process worked in accordance with the principles of the constitution and democracy. Through this analysis, we will explore how mk performs the role in some outstanding cases, and evaluate the effectiveness of its decisions in maintaining local political stability and public trust in voting systems.

In the pilkada west Papua province of 2020, a dispute occurred between two prospective couples in high competition. The defeated couples filed complaints with the mk, claiming cheating as the manipulation of sound and intimidation of voters. After a series of trials, the mk decided to reject the suit because the evidence presented was not strong enough to prove a structured, systematic, and massive breach (TSM) that could affect the results of the selection.

The constitutional court asserts that while some abuses have been found, they are not significant enough to overrule the election results. This ruling highlights the importance of providing strong and relevant evidence in the filing process of the pillar issue. The decision was taken into account the effect it had on the region's political stability.

The verdict was accepted by both sides, even though the losing side had expressed discontent. However, the decision has succeeded in maintaining political stability in west Papua by strengthening the legitimacy of the elected government. It also demonstrated the ability of the constitutional court to maintain a balance between law and politics.

The 2015 district election case of si, west Sumatra, provides an important example of how constitutional court handled the issue of voting involving alleged administrative and voice manipulation. The defeated couples accuse them of errors in the unevenly distributed voice and logistics of elections.

In this case, the constitutional court ordered a revote (PSU) in some places that was thought to be problematic (Polling Stations). The verdict was based on solid evidence of discrepancies in the selection process in some polling stations, potentially affecting the outcome. After the psu has done, it shows consistent with the previous elections, which confirm the victory of the original prospective mate.

The constitutional court's decision to order a re-vote (PSU) shows a commitment to justice and transparency in the election process. While a re-vote may require extra time and cost, it has a very positive effect, that of increasing public trust in the integrity of pillbox. This case sets an important precedent for handling similar issues in the future.

In boven digoel county, Papua, in 2020 pilkada, there are issues involving charges of human rights violations, including intimidation and violence against voters. The case has become very sensitive because it concerns larger issues than just local political contests.

The constitutional court found that a serious violation affected people's vote, and decided to cancel the vote results and order a thorough reelection in boven digoel county. The ruling reflects the seriousness of the constitutional court in upholding human rights in the context of elections.

The verdict has a major impact on local political stability, as re-election risks prolonged tension in the region. However, the constitutional court's decision also demonstrated his commitment to the preservation of human rights and the integrity of elections, which could eventually increase public confidence in the legal and democratic systems of Indonesia.

The above three cases show that constitutional courts played a significant role in keeping the integrity of the voting process in Indonesia. The constitutional court ruling that denies the suit, mandates a revote, or even cancellates the election results suggests that this agency serves as the last bastion in keeping electoral justice in check.

However, the effectiveness of the constitutional court ruling is measured not only by how often the decision changes the results of elections but also by how the decision is accepted by the community and the political actors and their effect on local political stability. A ruling capable of acceptance by all, while unfavorable to one side, suggests that the constitutional court successfully retained justice and legitimacy in the democratic system.

The constitutional court ruling has far-reaching implications for local political dynamics. On the one hand, a just and quick ruling can keep the political stability and public trust in the democratic process. On the other hand, if not managed well, the verdict may also cause new tensions, especially in areas with intense political conflict. It is therefore important for the constitutional court to continue to correct the decision making process and to consider the long-term effects of each ruling taken.

## 2) The Effectiveness of the Constitutional Court Ruling

Assessments of the effectiveness of constitutional court ruling (mk) in resolving conflict of choicationism can be seen from three main aspects: speed, justice, and acceptance by society. These three aspects are interrelated and are important indicators in assessing the extent to which a constitutional court ruling meets its central purpose in maintaining the justice and stability of democracy in Indonesia. Through an analysis of these aspects, we can understand how the constitutional court carried out its role in the context of often sensitive and complex conflict.

Speed in decision making became one important aspect of assessing the effectiveness of constitutional court ruling. In the context of pile-based issues, time is crucial because the result of the pilkada determines who will lead the territory within a certain period. Constitutional court was required to resolve issues within a limited time so as not to interfere with the process of leadership transition and the smooth running of local government. This speed also works to prevent uncertainty that could aggravate the political situation in the region.

On the basis of constitutional court rulings, the process of settling the pillary was generally done relatively quickly, within the time limit established by the law. The constitutional court has demonstrated its ability to process and settle disputes within a set time, although there are some cases that require an extension because of the complexity of the matter. This suggests that the constitutional court had an effective mechanism for handling issues quickly.

This speed provided legal certainty for all involved, reducing the potential for political instability that could result from electoral uncertainty. However, some critics suggest that these speeds sometimes sacrifice the quality of case care, especially when it comes to verifying complex evidence. Thus, although speed is important, it needs to be offset by precision in decision making.

Justice is the key element in any ruling taken by the constitutional court. Justice in the context of the pilling-issue meant decisions had to be made based on clear evidence, without bias, and in accord with the prevailing legal principles. Justice also included protection against the constitutional rights of all parties, including voters and prospective local heads. This aspect is crucial because justice felt by society can influence acceptance of the verdict.

The constitutional court was generally thought to be capable of preserving justice in its sentence. Some cases have suggested that constitutional courts did not hesitate to order revoting in places where serious misconduct was found. Moreover, the constitutional court also noted aspects of human rights violation and the integrity of elections in each decision. It shows that the constitutional court is committed to keeping justice in the voting process.

While most constitutional court rulings are considered fair, there are cases where justice is questioned, especially when the ruling is not in accordance with public expectations or is suspected of political interference. This suggests that while the constitutional court has sought to uphold justice, there is room for improvement in ensuring that each decision truly reflects the principle of justice without external influence.

Acceptance by the public was another important indicator that determined the effectiveness of the constitutional court ruling. A verdict adopted by all parties both the winner and the loser can avoid further conflict and create political stability. This acceptance depends on how the public sees the process and results of a constitutional court ruling as just and transparent.

Most constitutional court rulings are well received by the public, especially in areas with a high degree of legal awareness. However, in some areas, especially those with a long history of political

conflicts, acceptance of constitutional court ruling remains a challenge. There is a case where the losing side does not receive the results freely, which then creates new tensions and conflicts at the local level.

The level of acceptance of the constitutional court ruling directly affects the political stability of the area. Good acceptance usually takes place when the public feels that the proceedings of the constitutional court are transparent, and the verdict reflects the expected justice. On the other hand, when a verdict is deemed unjust or there is a suspicion of the integrity of the process, low acceptance, and political stability can be disrupted. This demonstrates the importance of transparency and good communication in keeping public trust in constitutional court ruling.

The constitutional court ruling in settling the issue of election can be said to be effective in meeting the purpose of maintaining political justice and stability, although there are still some challenges that need to be overcome. The pace of constitutional court ruling has helped reduce uncertainty and ensure a smooth leadership transition, while efforts to preserve justice reflect the constitutional court's commitment to legal principles.

The greatest challenge for the constitutional court, however, was to ensure that its ruling was not only quick and fair but also widely accepted by the public. This acceptance is vital to maintaining political stability and to prevent prolonged conflict in areas of complex political dynamics. The constitutional court therefore needs to continue to correct the process of handling issues, ensure transparency, and maintain its independence from political influence, so that its verdict can truly reflect justice and be accepted by all.

### **3) Factors that Have Influenced the Effectiveness of the Constitutional Court Ruling**

The effectiveness of the constitutional court ruling (mk) in resolving the issue of election was influenced by a variety of factors, both internal and external. A deep understanding of these factors is important in assessing the extent to which a constitutional court ruling can reach its supreme goal of upholding justice and ensuring political stability in the area. In this context, analysis of the various factors affecting the effectiveness of the constitutional court ruling is crucial to understanding the dynamics of the decision making process.

One of the primary internal factors affecting the effectiveness of the constitutional court ruling is the quality of the judge and support staff involved in the decision making process. The constitutional court judge must have integrity, professionalism, and a deep understanding of constitutional law as well as the political and social context of any issues handled. Moreover, support staff, such as clerks and researchers, also play a key role in preparing material that will serve as a basis for decision making.

The experienced and competent constitutional court judges tend to come up with a more targeted and publicly acceptable ruling. On the other hand, a weakness in the quality of human resources in a constitutional court can lessen the effectiveness of the ruling, both in terms of justice and in the acceptance of society. Therefore, increased capacity and competence of judges and support staff are a high priority in efforts to improve the effectiveness of the constitutional court ruling.

The process of decision making in constitutional courts, including court procedures, the verification of evidence, and the internal discussion between the judges, profoundly influenced the effectiveness of the ruling. A transparent, systematic, and fair process would improve the quality of the verdict and public trust in constitutional court.

The process of good decision making enabled the constitutional court to identify the underlying issues of the issue and to render decisions in accord with the principles of law and justice. On the other hand, a lack of transparency in this process, such as an overly bureaucratic procedure or lack of

transparency, can lead to decisions that are less effective and difficult for the parties involved to accept. Therefore, the constitutional court needs to continue to assess his internal process to ensure justice in each ruling.

Political pressure from certain parties, political parties, and other influential actors can affect independence and objectivity on constitutional courts. Although constitutional courts by law must be independent, in practice, political influence is often unavoidable.

Ruling affected by political pressure risks losing legitimacy in the public eye and can lead to political instability in the region. The constitutional court, therefore, should strive to keep its independence and ensure that every ruling is based on legal and legal evidence, not on political pressure. This is important to maintain public trust in the integrity of the judicial society.

The acceptance of society and the media to the constitutional court ruling is an important external factor influencing the effectiveness of the ruling. The media played a double role in shaping public opinion and providing information on the process and outcome of the constitutional court ruling. Support or criticism given by the media can influence people's perception of the justice and legitimacy of the ruling.

Positive public and media support on constitutional court rulings can increase their effectiveness by strengthening acceptance and legitimacy. Sharp and negative criticism not based on fact, on the other hand, would diminish public confidence in constitutional courts, even though the ruling was in accordance with principles of law and justice. Therefore, the constitutional court needs to communicate effectively with the media and the public to explain the basics of the ruling.

The social and political conditions in the area also have a powerful impact on the effectiveness of the constitutional court ruling. In areas with high political tensions or where people have strong emotional attachment to election results, the constitutional court ruling, though fair, may still be hard to accept.

In such areas, a constitutional court needs to consider effective communication strategies and may need additional steps to ensure that their ruling is understood and accepted by the public. In some cases, a constitutional court may also need to cooperate with local agencies to help placate the post-ruling situation. Thus, proper application of strategies in the face of local social and political conditions can be a key to implementing the constitutional court ruling.

The effectiveness of the constitutional court ruling in resolving disputes resulting from dedirectedness is strongly influenced by a combination of internal and external factors. Internal factors such as the quality of judges and the decision-making mechanism play a crucial role in determining justice and the quality of decisions. Meanwhile, external factors such as political pressure, media support, and local socio-political conditions may influence how the ruling is accepted and implemented.

#### **4) The Implications Political and Social**

Constitutional court ruling (MK) in settling disputes of election results has had a significant impact on the local and national dynamic. The effect of this ruling can be felt in various aspects of political and social life, affecting the stability of regional governments, public trust in democratic systems, and the relationships between the central and local governments. It is important to understand the political and social implications that result from a constitutional court ruling to assess the extent to which the ruling contributes to the achieving of the goals of justice and stability in the context of Indonesian democracy.

The constitutional court ruling had a direct effect on the political stability of the area. When the constitutional court confirms the victory of one prospective couple, it usually strengthens the legitimacy

of the elected municipal government, which is important to ensure the smooth running of government and the implementation of public policy in the area. However, in areas with a history of high political conflict or social tension, a constitutional court ruling can trigger stability or, rather, leads to instability if the ruling is not accepted by either side. Dissatisfaction with ruling the constitutional court sometimes leads to protest and mob action that can disrupt public order and the development of the territory.

The constitutional court ruling also affected relations between the local political elite. The conflict of choice often reflects a broader rivalry between influential political groups in the area. A ruling in favor of either side can reinforce the group's political dominance and shift the balance of local political power. The effect can be positive if the victorious political elite can embrace their political rivals and create a constructive atmosphere of cooperation. If it did, however, the constitutional court ruling could deepen the divisions and create continual tension among the political elites, which would eventually have a negative effect on government and regional development.

Political participation and public belief in the democratic system are also influenced by the ruling of the constitutional court. A verdict that is perceived fair and in accordance with people's expectations tends to increase public trust in the electoral process and encourage greater participation in the future. On the other hand, if the ruling of the constitutional court was viewed as unfair or influenced by some political interest, people's belief in a democratic system could decline. It has the potential to reduce political participation, to enhance apatism, and even to trigger anti-democracy in local communities.

The constitutional court ruling on the issue of pillada also has the implications for national legitimacy. As the highest judicial body on the issue of election results, each constitutional court ruling is seen not only as a local settlement but also as a reflection of the integrity of Indonesia's entire legal system and democracy. Rulings that strengthen the principles of justice and transparency of law can increase national legitimacy in the eyes of both domestic and international peoples. This suggests that Indonesia has a reliable legal system to resolve political conflicts, which in turn strengthens democracy at the national level.

Relations between state and local governments may also be affected by the ruling of the constitutional court. In some cases a constitutional court ruling can strengthen autonomy by confirming the results of the elections that the people in the area have democratically decided. In other cases, however, a constitutional court ruling that orders a reelection or a cancellation may cause tension between the central and local governments. The tension may arise if local authorities feel that the ruling of the constitutional court is influenced by the central political interests that want to control local politics. The constitutional court therefore needs to ensure that each ruling is based on strong, free legal principles in order to maintain the balance of the relationship between the center and the area.

Ruling the constitutional court on electoral issues can also affect national policy, especially in regard to political reforms and elections. A ruling that highlights a weakness in the election system or identifies a problem in the electoral process can trigger a national discussion about the need for legal and policy reform. For example, if the constitutional court finds that the injustice of the pilkada is caused by a weakness in the election law, it can encourage legislators to consider changing policies or greater legal reforms to promote the integrity of future elections. The constitutional court ruling can thus act as a catalyst for broader policy changes at the national level, contributing to the betterment of the democratic system in Indonesia.

The constitutional court ruling in settling disputes resulting from the electoral election has broad and complex implications, both locally and nationally. At the local level, the constitutional court ruling affects political stability, inter-elite relations, and public trust in the democratic system. At the national level, this ruling contributes to the legitimacy of states, center-related relations, and national electoral policies.

It was important for the constitutional court to continue to strengthen its decision-making process in order to produce a verdict that was not only fair and timely but also acceptable to the general public. The constitutional court can thus play a key role in maintaining political stability and strengthening democracy in Indonesia, both locally and nationally.

### ***Conclusion***

From analysis, it may be concluded that a constitutional court ruling (MK) in settling election issues has had a significant impact on both local and national political stability and trust. A just and timely ruling of the constitutional court tends to strengthen the legitimacy of the elected government and promote political stability in the area, while rulings that are influenced by political pressure or are not accepted by society can cause tension and aggravate local political dynamics. Moreover, the constitutional court ruling also influenced relations between the central and local governments and would potentially encourage the reforms of election policy at the national level.

The effectiveness of the constitutional court ruling depends largely on the quality of the decision making process, the independence of society from external influences, and the acceptance of society toward its results. It is important, therefore, for the constitutional court to continue to maintain integrity and transparency in the process, and to strengthen public communication to ensure that the verdict is not only fair but also understood and accepted by all involved. Thus the constitutional court could continue to play its role as an effective constitutional guardian in strengthening democracy in Indonesia.

### ***Reference***

- Agus, R., & Saputra, M. A. (2018). Analisis Peran Mahkamah Konstitusi dalam Menyelesaikan Sengketa Hasil Pemilihan Kepala Daerah. *Jurnal Hukum dan Kebijakan Publik*, 15(2), 245-260.
- Wijayanti, R., & Kartono, M. (2017). Efektivitas Putusan Mahkamah Konstitusi dalam Penyelesaian Sengketa Pemilihan Umum Kepala Daerah. *Jurnal Konstitusi Indonesia*, 12(3), 312-330.
- Purnomo, A., & Surya, H. (2019). Dampak Putusan Mahkamah Konstitusi terhadap Stabilitas Politik Daerah: Studi Kasus Pilkada Jawa Timur. *Jurnal Politik dan Pemerintahan*, 6(1), 78-92.
- Lestari, S. P., & Rahmawati, D. (2020). Pengaruh Putusan Mahkamah Konstitusi Terhadap Kepercayaan Publik pada Hasil Pilkada. *Jurnal Demokrasi dan Hukum*, 10(1), 45-58.
- Yulianto, F., & Santoso, A. (2021). Analisis Implikasi Sosial dari Putusan Mahkamah Konstitusi dalam Sengketa Hasil Pilkada. *Jurnal Ilmu Politik dan Pemerintahan Indonesia*, 8(2), 123-139.

### ***Copyrights***

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).